

## Grades 4–5 Literary Analysis Task (LAT) and Research Simulation Task (RST) Scoring Rubric

Construct Measured	Score Point 4	Score Point 3	Score Point 2	Score Point 1	Score Point 0
Reading Comprehension and Written Expression	<ul> <li>The student response</li> <li>demonstrates full comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and/or inferentially by providing an accurate analysis;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The student response</li> <li>demonstrates         <ul> <li>comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and/or inferentially by providing a mostly accurate analysis;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The student response</li> <li>demonstrates basic comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and/or inferentially by providing a generally accurate analysis;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The student response</li> <li>demonstrates limited comprehension of ideas by providing a minimally accurate analysis;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The student response</li> <li>demonstrates no comprehension of ideas by providing an inaccurate or no analysis;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>addresses the prompt and provides effective development of the topic that is consistently appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>addresses the prompt and provides mostly effective development of the topic that is appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>addresses the prompt and provides some development of the topic that is somewhat appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>addresses the prompt and provides minimal development of the topic that is limited in its appropriateness to the task, purpose, and audience;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>is undeveloped and/or inappropriate to the task, purpose, and audience;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>uses clear reasoning supported by relevant text- based evidence in the development of the topic;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>uses mostly clear reasoning supported by relevant text- based evidence in the development of the topic;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>uses some reasoning and text-based evidence in the development of the topic;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>uses limited reasoning and text-based evidence;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>includes little to no text- based evidence;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>is effectively organized with clear and coherent writing;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>is organized with mostly clear and coherent writing;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>demonstrates some organization with somewhat coherent writing;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>demonstrates limited organization and coherence;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>lacks organization and coherence;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>uses language effectively to clarify ideas.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>uses language that is mostly effective to clarify ideas.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>uses language to express ideas with <b>some</b> clarity.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>uses language to express ideas with <b>limited</b> clarity.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>does not use language to express ideas with clarity.</li> </ul>
Knowledge of Language and Conventions		The student response demonstrates <b>full command</b> of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There <b>may</b> be a <b>few minor errors</b> in mechanics, grammar, and usage, but <b>meaning is clea</b> r.	The student response demonstrates <b>some command</b> of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There <b>may</b> be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that <b>occasionally</b> <b>impede understanding</b> , but the <b>meaning is generally clear</b> .	The student response demonstrates <b>limited</b> <b>command</b> of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There <b>may</b> be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that <b>often impede</b> <b>understanding.</b>	The student response <b>does not</b> <b>demonstrate command</b> of the conventions of standard English at the appropriate level of complexity. <b>Frequent and</b> <b>varied errors</b> in mechanics, grammar, and usage <b>impede</b> <b>understanding</b> .